

Q1 Objective 1: Partners institutional memory on policy dialogue approaches is maintained and updatedWould you say, this objective has been achieved?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
fully	33.33%	3
to a big extent	66.67%	6
to a little extent	0.00%	0
not at all	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9

#	OPTIONAL COMMENTS:	DATE
1	Even if new people have come in, but most of those who particiapted in earlier workshops / sessions were present, which is key to institutional memory.	11/2/2017 9:29 AM
2	polocy dialogue is a contineuos process that needs hands on trainning to build the capacity of the implementors	10/31/2017 1:16 PM
3	This having been my first PD training, it was a real eye opener and interesting training	10/31/2017 10:40 AM
4	although there is some significant staff turnover there is good level of continuity that partners capacity is continuisly enhanced.	10/31/2017 9:33 AM


Q2 Objective 2: Partners are able to define efficient and effective policy dialogue strategies for their organizationWould you say, the objective has been achieved?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
fully	50.00%	4
to a big extent	37.50%	3
to a little extent	12.50%	1
not at all	0.00%	0
TOTAL		8

#	OPTIONAL COMMENTS	DATE
1	From the training, the content is OK, mmore to come is to come up with a well defined PD strategy	11/2/2017 9:29 AM
2	this is because some of us did not have the written strtegy already	10/31/2017 1:16 PM


Q3 Objective 3: Partners have an enhanced understanding of the risks involved in policy dialogue and their mitigationWould you say, this objective has been achieved?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
fully	37.50%	3
to a big extent	50.00%	4
to a little extent	12.50%	1
not at all	0.00%	0
TOTAL		8


#	OPTIONAL COMMENTS:	DATE
1	we are using the knowledge to identify risks and their mitigation measures along the way.	10/31/2017 1:16 PM

Q4 How would you rate the training topics?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very interesting/ relevant for your work/ the project	88.89%	8
fairly interesting/relevant for your work/ the project	11.11%	1
poorly interesting/relevant for your work/ the project	0.00%	0
not interesting/relevant for your work/ the project	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9

Q5 How would you rate the training methods?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very adequate to discuss topics and exchange knowledge	77.78%	7
fairly adequate to discuss topics and exchange knowledge	22.22%	2
poorly adequate to discuss topics and exchange knowledge	0.00%	0
not adequate to discuss topics and exchange knowledge	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9

Q6 How would you rate the presentations of training contents?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very vivid/ clear	66.67%	6
fairly vivid /clear	33.33%	3
little vivid /clear	0.00%	0
not vivid /clear	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9

Q7 How would you rate the discussions on training contents?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very productive/ helpful	77.78%	7
fairly productive/ helpful	22.22%	2
little productive/ helpful	0.00%	0
not productive/ helpful	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9

Q8 Further comments on programme and methodology:

Answered: 7 Skipped: 2


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The programme was well under stood on the policy dialoque	11/8/2017 11:59 AM
2	Excellent facilitators and trainers	11/6/2017 9:07 PM
3	The trainers were up to their tasks. The training methodology allowed presentations, discussions, group works, some various materials	11/2/2017 9:31 AM
4	The participatory approach helped understand the actual practice of PD in different settings and the use of videos was very useful in grasping different concepts.	11/1/2017 6:49 AM
5	Most approaches used were satsifactory	10/31/2017 10:44 PM
6	time is still a problem.can we incorporate case studies or exchange learnings as we did some times in the first phase?	10/31/2017 1:16 PM
7	The programme was well planned and the facilitators' interactive ways of training was good.	10/31/2017 10:45 AM

Q9 How would you descibre the overall organization, prior communication and running of the workshop?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very well organized	66.67%	6
fairly well organized	33.33%	3
not very well organized	0.00%	0
badly/ poorly organized	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9

Q10 How would you rate the workshop regarding its



Consortium Project POLICY DIALOGUE: First Training of Phase II Kampala October 2017


		GOOD	MORE OR LESS	BAD	TOTAL
Duration (da	ays it lasted)	77.78%	22.22%	0.00%	
		7	2	0	9
Size (amour	nt of participants)	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	_
		9	0	0	9
Conference	facilities	88.89% 8	11.11%	0.00%	9
Accommoda	ation facilities	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Accommode	ation radiities	9	0.0070	0.0070	9
Catering/ me	eals	88.89%	11.11%	0.00%	
		8	1	0	9
Travel arran	gements	88.89%	11.11% 1	0.00%	0
		8	ı	0	9
#	COMMENTS FOR "DURATION (DAYS IT LASTED)"			DATE	
	There are no responses.				
#	COMMENTS FOR "SIZE (AMOUNT OF PARTICIPANTS)"		S)"	DATE	
	There are no responses.				
#	COMMENTS FOR "CONFERENC	E FACILITIES"		DATE	
	There are no responses.				
#	COMMENTS FOR "ACCOMMODA	ATION FACILITIES"		DATE	
	There are no responses.				
#	COMMENTS FOR "CATERING/ N	IEALS"		DATE	
	There are no responses.				
#	COMMENTS FOR "TRAVEL ARR	ANGEMENTS"		DATE	
	There are no responses.				

Q11 Further comments on organization, arrangements and facilities:

Answered: 5 Skipped: 4


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Generally good	11/8/2017 12:01 PM
2	keep it up	11/6/2017 9:07 PM
3	The choices on meals were limited.	11/2/2017 9:34 AM
4	for travel arrangements consider pick ups for every participant.	10/31/2017 1:16 PM
5	The organisation of the workshop was superb, logistics good and the venue was conducive for learning	10/31/2017 10:47 AM

Q12 How would you rate the facilitator CAROL LINTARI regarding her overall qualification and competence?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very qualified/competent	77.78%	7
fairly qualified/ competent	22.22%	2
poorly qualified/ competent	0.00%	0
not qualified/competent	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9

Q13 How would you rate the facilitator CAROL LINTARI regarding her readiness to address, encourage and provide assistance to participants?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very motivating & eager to help	88.89%	8
fairly motivating & willing to help	11.11%	1
poorly motivating/helpful	0.00%	0
not motivating/helpful	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9

Q14 Further comments on the facilitator CAROL LINTARI:

Answered: 6 Skipped: 3


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Good	11/8/2017 12:02 PM
2	keep it up	11/6/2017 9:08 PM
3	Perfect and experienced trainer	11/2/2017 9:35 AM
4	She was excellent	10/31/2017 10:46 PM
5	very well versed and motivated.	10/31/2017 1:17 PM
6	She is eloguent, has clarity and a very interesting facilitator	10/31/2017 10:58 AM

Q15 How would you rate the facilitator CYPRIAN NYAMWAMU regarding his overall qualification and competence?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very qualified/competent	100.00%	9
fairly qualified/ competent	0.00%	0
poorly qualified/ competent	0.00%	0
not qualified/competent	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9

Q16 How would you rate the facilitator CYPRIAN NYAMWAMU regarding his readiness to address, encourage and provide assistance to participants?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
very motivating & eager to help	100.00%	9
fairly motivating & willing to help	0.00%	0
poorly motivating/helpful	0.00%	0
not motivating/helpful	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9

Q17 Further comments on the facilitator CYPRIAN NYAMWAMU:

Answered: 6 Skipped: 3


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	To keep it up	11/8/2017 12:02 PM
2	excellent	11/6/2017 9:08 PM
3	Perfect and experienced trainer	11/2/2017 9:35 AM
4	Very good	10/31/2017 10:46 PM
5	he is an expert in this field	10/31/2017 1:17 PM
6	He used very good case studies which the participants could relate to. He is warm too.	10/31/2017 10:58 AM

Q18 Did you learn new things, that can be applied in your work?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Definitely	100.00%	9
Not really	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9


Q19 If the answer is YES, please specify here (multiple answers possible):



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I learned new methods/tool which I can apply in my work.	100.00%	9
I gained new knowledge which is directly relevant for my working fields.	88.89%	8
The learnings/insights will help to improve the impact of our projects.	77.78%	7
The learnings/insights will help to improve our internal processes	77.78%	7
Other (please specify)	22.22%	2
Total Respondents: 9		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Developping a PD strategy for National Society and effectively engaging in policy dialogue	11/2/2017 9:36 AM
2	strategy development and risk analysis and management are very helpfully in planning for PD activities given changing political environment.	10/31/2017 1:17 PM


Q20 If the answer is NO, please specify here (multiple answers possible):



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	3
I was already familiar with the majority of presented topics/tools	0.00%	0
Covered topics/methods do not have any direct relevance for our work	0.00%	0
Due to a lack of time/ human resources, we are not able to apply the learnings in our work	0.00%	0
Due to a lack of financial resources, we are not able to apply the learnings in our work	0.00%	0
Other (please specify)	100.00%	1
Total Respondents: 1		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	we apprecaite very much the content and tools used in the training	10/31/2017 1:17 PM

Q21 In general, how skilled do you feel to apply new knowledge in your work?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
a lot	55.56%	5
some	44.44%	4
a bit	0.00%	0
not much	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9

Q22 What would you have wished to explore more?

Answered: 5 Skipped: 4

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The policy dialoque processes(steps)	11/8/2017 12:06 PM
2	As a first training, this was enough. Future sessions may build on current momentum	11/2/2017 9:39 AM
3	PD strategies for specific countries accompanied by the risks and their mitigation measures in order to stay relevant.	10/31/2017 1:17 PM
4	The strategy Monitoring & Evaluation	10/31/2017 11:18 AM
5	on the strategies of PD. When one understands the strategies to apply, then the work becomes easier.	10/31/2017 11:04 AM

Q23 When organising future events, what should be changed/ improved to better respond to the needs of the participants?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 2

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	-	11/8/2017 12:06 PM
2	participants could respond faster for easy organisation	11/6/2017 9:09 PM
3	Find a time (1 day) to visit a local implementer partner.	11/2/2017 9:39 AM
4	Venues should continue being rotational	10/31/2017 10:49 PM
5	include case study/ field visits to succesful PD partner organizations.	10/31/2017 1:17 PM
6	The conference facility	10/31/2017 11:18 AM
7	Give more time in experience sharing as we learn a lot form each other. Additionally, a field visit to a project where PD has been applied would be good to the participants.	10/31/2017 11:04 AM

Q24 If you would like to recommend trainers/consultants/experts in the field for future trainings/workshops, please share their names and contacts here:

Answered: 5 Skipped: 4

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Crysprian	11/8/2017 12:06 PM
2	These ones are OK.	11/2/2017 9:39 AM
3	cyprian and carol are good trainers. for communication in PD special attention to Idris KRCs	10/31/2017 1:17 PM
4	N/A	10/31/2017 11:18 AM
5	Cyprian and Carol are good.	10/31/2017 11:04 AM