

# Follow-Up on the KICK OFF Workshop 2017 of the CONSORTIA PROJECT on POLICY DIALOGUE


Tuesday, March 14, 2017

# 7


**Total Responses**

Date Created: March 02-14, 2017

**Q1: Objective 1 of the workshop has been defined as: Participants get a clear picture of the overall project logic. Their perspectives are included in the planning process. Would you say, this objective has been achieved?**




**Q2: Objective 2 of the workshop has been defined as: The needs assessment for capacity development is conducted, taking into account individual, organizational and collective capacity needs. The participatory assessment facilitates the elaboration of a capacity development plan. Would you say, the result has been achieved?**




Optional comments	
I feel the time wasn't enough to do thorough Organisational Capacity Assessment-the exercise was done a little hurriedly	
the last part on the Risk Analysis for capacity development was not covered due to limited time.	
The time allocated this very important activity was not sufficient as it required some good amount of technical support for participants to be able to think through what their respective institutions and individuals need	
Answer Choices	Responses
fully	28.57% 2
to a big extent	57.14% 4
to a little extent	14.29% 1
not at all	0.00% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>


### Q3: How would you rate the workshop regarding its programme?



# Q4: How would you rate the workshop regarding its methodologies?




# Q5: How would you rate the workshop regarding its discussions?



Answer Choices	Responses
very productive/ helpful	100.00% 7
fairly productive/ helpful	0.00% 0
little productive/ helpful	0.00% 0
not productive/ helpful	0.00% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>

# Q6: How would you describe the overall participation/ interaction of participants?



Answer Choices	Responses
In general, participation was very active	100.00% 7
In general, there was little active participation	0.00% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>




---

## Q7 Further comments on programme and methodology:

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3

	Responses
	The methodology was okay, it enlisted alot of participation
	Next time, we should incoporate other leaderning methodologies other than group work and presentations.
	But the workshop was very packed in a very short period given the extensive discussions that most of the topics required
	More of brainstorming and group discussions which enhanced peer learning, sharing of experiences

## Q8: How would you describe the overall organization, prior communication and running of the workshop?




# Q9: How would you rate the workshop regarding its



	good	more or less	bad	Total
Duration (days it lasted)	83.33% 5	16.67% 1	0.00% 0	6
Size (amount of participants)	100.00% 7	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7
Conference facilities	14.29% 1	57.14% 4	28.57% 2	7

# Q9: How would you rate the workshop regarding its




---

## Q10 Further comments on organization, arrangements and facilities:

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3


Responses
The facilities especially accomodation wasn't good, they had not other facilities like gym, sauna and steam that are really essential. Similarly, its very important that transport refund need to be organised for the participants even if perdiems are not readily available.
It will be better if participants can be given their transport reimbursement after the meeting.
The workshop would have been better served it were to be given an extra day or two
The travel communications were okay, however the issues of transport refunds, perdiems, meals for the participants should have been refunded to avoid any inconveniences

# Q11: How would you rate the facilitator CAROL LINTARI regarding her overall qualification and competence?



Answer Choices	Responses
very qualified/competent	85.71% 6
fairly qualified/ competent	14.29% 1
poorly qualified/ competent	0.00% 0
not qualified/competent	0.00% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>

## Q12: How would you rate the facilitator CAROL LINTARI regarding her readiness to address, encourage and provide assistance to participants?



Answer Choices	Responses
very motivating & eager to help	85.71% 6
fairly motivating & willing to help	14.29% 1
poorly motivating/helpful	0.00% 0
not motivating/helpful	0.00% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>

---


## Q13 Further comments on the facilitator CAROL LINTARI:

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3


Responses
Competent
Well experienced in CSO work and a good fit for supporting such a group
Knowledgeable about the topic, willing to support us develop capacity plans , however her sessions needed more time to understand all the concepts
Good examples and gave room for participants to discuss



# Q14: How would you rate the facilitator CYPRIAN NYAMWAMU regarding his overall qualification and competence?



# Q15: How would you rate the facilitator CYPRIAN NYAMWAMU regarding his readiness to address, encourage and provide assistance to participants?




---

## Q16 Further comments on the facilitator CYPRIAN NYAMWAMU:

Answered: 5 Skipped: 2


Responses
He is just good and very thorough
He has rich knowledge in policy dialogue space. He is also accomodative
Knowledge on policy dialogue and extensively experienced making him strategically well placed to facilitate a PD workshop
Good Facilitator
Very compitent in the area of policy dialogue/subject

## Q17: Training Session on Communication Skills for Policy Dialogue by AHMED IDRIS from Kenya Red Cross: Did you learn new things, that can be applied in your work?



Answer Choices	Responses
Definitely	100.00% 7
Not really	0.00% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>

# Q18: If the answer is YES, please specify here:



Answer Choices	Responses
I learned new methods/tool which I can apply in my work.	85.71% 6
I gained new knowledge which is directly relevant for my working fields.	100.00% 7
The learnings/insights will help to improve the impact of our projects.	85.71% 6
The learnings/insights will help to improve our internal processes	85.71% 6
Other (please specify)	42.86% 3
<b>Total Respondents: 7</b>	

Other (please specify)
Very capativating, using personal experience for delivery
I would like him to train my colleagues at GROOTS on policy communication.
Hes one of the best facilitator i have met so far on advocacy and policy dialogue issues...hes real good


---

## Q20 Further comments on the training with AHMED IDRIS:


Answered: 5 Skipped: 2

Responses
VERY GOOD
He has relevant experience walking through his work and examples.
Well versed in communication for PD
more understanding of communication processes in policy dialogue, practical stories
He has real example that reflect our envirommt-very good

## Q21: Did the Kick Off Workshop help you to acquire new insights for your work?



# Q22: Did the Kick Off Workshop help you to gain a better understanding of the project?





---

## Q23 What would you have wished to explore more?

Answered: 6 Skipped: 1

Responses
None
How to budget for policy dialogue activities considering that successes in policy dialogues may not just come about through meetings.
I would have loved to go into details on PD stages
Having concrete plans and budgets for the small action funds
monitoring tools and log-frames for individual organizations
What are the next steps after this? to implement basing on our experience.

## Q24 When organising future events, what should be changed/ improved to better respond to the needs of the participants?

Answered: 5 Skipped: 2

Responses
Need to get a better venue, organize transport refund and perdiem for the participants in time
1. incorporate other learning methods like transcend walks. Get a venue near cities where international staff can have time to interact with the new places.
In future the hotel accommodation needs to be scaled up, the refunds policy revised to accommodate flexibility, especially transport refunds and travel meals
improvement in the choice of venue
May be venue to be close to the City, Limuru was a bit far.